Properties of Reinforcing Steels

1.0 Introduction

Part 2 of this Guide describes the most common process routes for the manufacture of reinforcing steels in use in the UK. This part now considers the various performance characteristics of reinforcing steels, and how process routes influence them. The intention is to go beyond the information given in design codes and material standards, and give designers and contractors a greater understanding of reinforcing steel materials, and how they behave in practice.

2.0 Codes and Standards

In the UK the technical properties for reinforcing steels stem from the requirements of the Codes of Practice:

BS 8110 (Concrete structures) BS 5400 Part 4 (Concrete bridges) BS 8007 (Water retaining structures)

The technical requirements for reinforcement steel in the UK are defined in:

- BS 4449: 1997 (Carbon steel bars for the reinforcement of concrete)
- BS 4482: 1985 (Cold reduced wire for the reinforcement of concrete)
- BS 4483: 1985 (Welded fabric).

Tensile Test on Reinforcing Bar



Courtesy of R-Tech Services

The UK industry will change as a result of adopting the European codes of practice and standards. This will be led by Eurocode 2 (EC2); EN 1992-1-1 (General rules and rules for buildings), EN 1992-2 (Reinforced and prestressed concrete bridges) and EN 1992-3 (Liquid retaining and containing structures); together with their UK National Annexes, these will supersede BS 8110, 5400 and 8007 respectively.

Linked to the introduction of the new codes will be the new European Standard for reinforcing steels, EN 10080, publication of which is expected in 2005. The British Standards, BS 4449, BS 4482

Standard	Grade/ Class	Yield Stress (N/mm²)	Tensile/Yield Ratio	Elongation A ₅ (%)	Elongation A _g t (%)
BS 4449: 1997	460A	460	1.05	12	2.5
BS4449: 1997	460B	460	1.08	14	5.0
EC2: Annex C	500A	500*	1.05	-	2.5
EC2: Annex C	500B	500*	1.08	-	5.0
EC2: Annex C	500C	500*	1.15	-	7.5

Specified Tensile Properties

* Although EC2, Annex C permits a range of characteristic yield strengths between 400 and 600 MPa, BS 4449 and BS 8110 are being updated for the use of a 500 MPa grade. It is also worth noting that BS4449 and BS4482 describe ductility in terms of two parameters; the tensile strength to yield strength ratio (R_m/R_e), and the elongation, both at fracture (A_5) and at maximum load (A_g t). The symbols used in EC2 for R_m/R_e and A_g t are f_t/f_y and e_u respectively.

Table 1



and BS 4483 are being revised to align with the requirements of EN 10080, EC2 and the UK National Annex. As has occurred for concrete, BS 8110, 5400 and 8007 will be amended to ensure that the reinforcing steels specifications are in line with the new requirements during the co-existing period.

Whilst this part gives a brief indication of the technical changes to the properties of the reinforcing steel that will occur to be in line with the changes in design codes and product standards, Part 10 of this Guide will cover these changes in more detail, and include their relationship with the Construction Products Directive and CE marking.

3.0 Properties

The main performance characteristics required of reinforcing steels may be categorised as follows:

- Tensile properties (yield and ductility)
- Bend/rebend

- Fatigue
- Bond
- Weldability (chemical composition)

3.1 Tensile properties

Table 1 shows the tensile properties which are currently specified in BS 4449:1997, and those in EC2, Annex C. In BS4449:1997, the yield strength is specified as both a minimum, and a characteristic value. This means that the manufacturer has to demonstrate long-term statistical compliance, as well as achieving the required test results on each individual batch.

Figure 1 shows a stress-strain curve for a reinforcing bar produced by the quench and self tempered process route (QST). The various tensile parameters are defined in the figure.

Yield strength can be defined in several different ways. Where steels show a so-called "yield effect", as in the example in **Figure 1**, the standards allow the yield strength to be

determined as the upper yield strength. This is the point on the stress-strain curve where the load initially drops; the upper yield point. Alternatively, the yield strength can be defined as the stress at a permanent strain of 0.2% (**Figure 1**); the 0.2% proof stress. In some steels, for example cold worked steels, no yield effect is observed, the stress-strain plot showing a continuous curve after the linear elastic portion (**Figure 2**). In these steels, yield strength is always defined as the 0.2% proof strength.

The tensile strength/yield strength ratio (Rm/Re) is a measure of a steel's ability to work harden prior to fracture.

Uniform elongation $(A_g t)$ is also a measure of a steels ability to deform prior to fracture. It should be noted that in the draft Eurocode and European standard, uniform elongation replaces elongation to fracture (A_5) , which has been the measure of ductility in British Standards to date. $A_g t$ is deemed to be a more appropriate design characteristic.



Figure 1 Courtesy of R-Tech Services



Stress-Strain curves of different types of steel

Figure 2 Courtesy of R-Tech Services

In general terms, there is an inverse relationship between strength and ductility. However this is strongly influenced by the steel manufacturing process route.

Figure 2 shows the stress-strain curves for four common types of reinforcing steel and demonstrates the differences in tensile behaviour between the different types:

- Micro-alloy. This is characterised by having a high work hardening rate, and a high level of elongation. The R_m/R_e figure is particularly high for these steels, and they have a relatively high level of ductility. The curve shows a discontinuous yielding behaviour. Micro-alloy steels would normally be Grade B or Grade C ductility as defined in EC2, Annex C.
- Quench and Self Temper (QST). The stress-strain curve has a similar shape to the micro-alloy steel. The steel has slightly lower levels of elongation and R_m/R_e. QST steels would also normally be classed as

Grade B or Grade C ductility, depending on the details of the process route.

- Cold stretched. Because of the cold work present, this material shows continuous yielding behaviour; there is no defined yield point. The work hardening capacity is generally lower than in the micro-alloy and QST steels. The Agt figure in particular is generally lower. These steels would normally meet Grade B ductility.
- Cold-rolled steel. Because of the amount of deformation in the manufacturing process, this material also shows continuous yielding behaviour. The ductility is lower than in the above steels, and this type is generally certified to Grade A ductility.

3.2 Bend properties

Most reinforcing steel will require bending, before it is installed into a

concrete structure. Because they are relatively high strength steels, and because the ribs on the bar surface act as stress concentrators, reinforcing steels may fracture on bending if the radius of bend is too tight. Both BS 8110 and the UK Annex to EC2 specify minimum mandrel diameters for bending of high yield reinforcement as follows:

Bar Diameter	Minimum Mandrel		
(mm)	Diameter (mm)		
≤ 16	4d		
> 16	7d		

The risk of fracture on bending is increased as the temperature is decreased, because these steels have decreasing toughness at lower temperatures. Hence design codes specify minimum bending temperatures for safe operation.

BS 4449:1997 includes a re-bend test, in which the steel is bent 45°

around a specified mandrel, aged at 100°C for an hour, and then bent back by 23°. This test is designed to measure the effect of strain ageing on the steel. Strain ageing is an embrittlement effect, which occurs after cold deformation, by the diffusion of nitrogen in the steel.

BS 4449:1997 limits the nitrogen content of reinforcing steels to 0.012%, in order to restrict strain ageing. The only exception to this is for micro-alloyed steels, where nitrogen is combined with vanadium for strengthening. In the combined form, nitrogen does not have a strain ageing effect.

Bending characteristics of a particular reinforcing steel will be a function of both the mechanical characteristics of the steel, and the bar profile. Micro-alloy steels generally require higher bending loads, due to their increased work hardening rate. Consistency of bar shape is required for consistent bending performance. The dimensional tolerances achieved with cold rolling are better than for hot rolling, so that for demanding bending operations in small diameters, this process route can be preferred.

All usual process routes for reinforcing steels can produce steel which meets the specified requirements for bend and/or rebend, provided that the manufacturer exercises suitable care with his rolling process and equipment.

Photograph of a Bar which Failed in Fatigue



Figure 3 Failure initiated at base of transverse rib

3.3 Fatigue properties

BS 4449:1997 specifies a fatigue test regime, which is designed to be compatible with the UK bridge design code, BS 5400. The test requirement is to survive 5 million stress cycles, at stress conditions that depend on the size of the bar. The CARES scheme provides for samples of each approved bar and coil type to be independently tested, at the Initial Assessment stage and thereafter at approximate six monthly intervals, to ensure that the full range of sizes produced by a manufacturer is covered over a three-year period.

In reinforcing steels, fatigue properties are governed primarily by the stress concentration developed at the root of the ribs (**Figure 3**) and any bar failures normally initiate from such points. As such this is more a function of the care exercised by the manufacturer in producing the ribs, than of a particular process route. Any excessive rib damage resulting from bending or de-coiling can create a problem with respect to fatigue.



3.4 Bond properties

Unlike the other performance characteristics, bond is really a composite characteristic of the steel in a particular concrete. Reinforcing steel standards specify bond performance by either measurement of rib geometry or by standardised bond tests or, in the case of BS4449, both.

The approach used in BS 4449:1997 is to define bond principally in terms of the projected rib area. This is a measure of the rib area in a unit length perpendicular to the axis of the bar. As a secondary option, manufacturers may use a bond test to establish satisfactory bond performance to BS 8110, if the rib geometry requirements are not met. The bond test is a one-off type test for a particular rib geometry. BS4449 and BS4482 currently specify a pullout test, whereas their forth-coming revisions will specify a beam test in line with EC2 Annex C. Both tests are based on earlier CEB/RILEM test methods (see Figure 4).

Since bond is a function of the surface geometry, it is not related to any particular manufacturing process. For cold rolling, manufacturers will generally try to minimise the amount of deformation, in order to maintain reasonable ductility. This means that rib heights are often lower than on equivalent hot rolled steels. In this process, manufacturers often use the bond test option to establish satisfactory bond performance. For approved manufacturers, CARES oversees the bond tests, which are performed at independent UKAS accredited laboratories. From these tests, requirements for the ongoing control of rib dimensions are established, to ensure that the steels continue to meet the specified bond performance.

4.0 Welding

The ability of a steel to produce satisfactory welds will depend on a whole range of factors, including the welding process employed, and the competence of the welder. This is dealt with more comprehensively in Part 6 of this Guide. Weldability is however a very important property of reinforcing steels.

For reinforcing steel, it is common to define weldability in terms of a carbon equivalent value (CEV). This is a composite analytical parameter, defined as follows:

CEV = %C + %Mn/6 + %(Cr+Mo+V)/5 + %(Cu+Ni)/15

This CEV parameter is a way of defining a steel's hardenability, i.e. the ease with which it forms hard, brittle structures when subject to a particular heating and cooling cycle.

Carbon Equivalent is adopted in BS4449:1997, EN10080 and BS 7123 to define weldability. **Table 2** shows typical CEV levels for the different common process routes. It can be seen that the CEV of steels produced by the microalloying or cold stretching processes routes are generally higher than for the QST or cold rolling routes, so that the influence of different steel process routes on welding performance should always be taken into account when approving weld procedures.

5.0 CARES Approval.

As part of the scheme for reinforcing steel, CARES implements various procedures to ensure that approved manufacturers produce steel according to the performance requirements of the standard. These are as follows:

- Initial approval. Before any process used by a manufacturer is approved, CARES conducts an extensive series of witness and independent tests across the size range. Testing includes tensile properties, bend/rebend, geometry and chemical analysis. Fatigue type testing is also performed across the size range.
- Review of process control parameters to ensure consistency of production operations.
- Surveillance. Every six months, CARES samples at random from a manufacturer's production, and again conduct witness and independent tests. The system is designed to cover all products and sizes over a three-year period. A set of independent fatigue tests is also performed at each surveillance audit.

Typical Analysis of Steels from Different Process Routes

Process	Product	С	Mn	Si	V	CEV
QST	Bar	0.15-0.20	0.60-1.00	0.15-0.30	-	0.30-0.35
Microalloy	Bar	0.15-0.20	1.10-1.30	0.15-0.30	0.05-0.10	0.40-0.50
Stretched	Coil	0.18-0.22	1.10-1.30	0.15-0.30		0.40-0.50
Cold Rolled	Fabric	0.05-0.10	0.50-1.00	0.10-0.20	-	0.20-0.30

- Long term quality level. CARES monitors the statistics of test results produced by a manufacturer to ensure that the long term characteristic requirements are being met.
- Non-conforming material. CARES regulations ensure that any nonconforming material is disposed of by the manufacturer, and does not reach the supply chain.
- Complaint resolution. CARES monitors any complaints raised against a manufacturer's quality by their customers. CARES ensures that any non-conforming material is properly disposed of, and the causes of nonconformity are investigated and corrected.

BS 4449 has requirements for the longterm quality level of the yield strength. Where the steel is covered by a third party product certification scheme, the verification of this statistical capability is covered by the scheme. Where material is not covered by such a scheme, BS 4449 specifies an extensive batch sampling and testing regime.

In specifying CARES approved reinforcing steels, purchasers can be confident that all of the performance characteristics are being adequately controlled. There is no need to implement testing on receipt of material, saving significant time and cost.

6.0 References

- BRITISH STANDARDS INSTITUTION. BS 4449:1997
 "Carbon steel bars for the reinforcement of concrete" London pp 19
- 2. BRITISH STANDARDS INSTITUTION. BS 4482:1985 "Cold reduced steel wire for the reinforcement of concrete" London pp 12
- 3. BRITISH STANDARDS INSTITUTION. BS 4483:1998 "Steel fabric for the reinforcement of concrete" London pp 10
- BRITISH STANDARDS INSTITUTION. BS 8110:Part 1:1997 "Structural use of concrete. Code of practice for design and construction" London pp 132
- BRITISH STANDARDS INSTITUTION. BS 5400:Part 4:1990 "Steel, concrete and composite bridges. Code of practice for design of concrete bridges" London pp 66
- CEN. EN 1992-1-1 "Eurocode 2: Design of concrete structures-Part 1: General rules and rules for buildings"
- 7. CEN. EN 1992-2 "Eurocode 2: Design of concrete structures-Part 1: Reinforced and prestressed concrete bridges"

- CEN. EN 1992-3 "Eurocode 2: Design of concrete structures-Part 1: Liquid retaining and containing structures"
- CEN. EN10080 "Steel for the reinforcement of concrete-weldable reinforcing steel-General"
- 10. Concrete June 2003 pp 8-10. "Reinforcing Steels: processes and properties" Tony Franks, R-Tech Services
- 11. The CARES Guide to Reinforcing Steels: Part 2. Manufacturing Process Routes for Reinforcing Steels
- 12. The CARES Guide to Reinforcing Steels: Part 6. Welding and Pre-Fabrication
- 13. BRITISH STANDARDS INSTITUTION. BS 7123:1989 "Metal arc welding of steel for concrete reinforcement" London pp 28



UK CARES

Pembroke House, 21 Pembroke Road, Sevenoaks, Kent TN13 1XR Phone: +44(0)1732 450000 Fax: +44(0)1732 455917 E-mail: general@ukcares.com URL: www.ukcares.com

Copyright UK CARES ©

This guide is available in pdf format. If you wish to receive a pdf copy and receive future updates, please send an e-mail to the address above